9.3. Visualizing the processing in the input
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Occlusion sensitivity
Another approach to understanding the functioning of a network is to look at the behavior of the network “around” an image.

For instance, we can get a simple estimate of the importance of a part of the input image by computing the difference between:

1. the value of the maximally responding output unit on the image, and
2. the value of the same unit with that part occluded.
Another approach to understanding the functioning of a network is to look at the behavior of the network “around” an image.

For instance, we can get a simple estimate of the importance of a part of the input image by computing the difference between:

1. the value of the maximally responding output unit on the image, and
2. the value of the same unit with that part occluded.

This is computationally intensive since it requires as many forward passes as there are locations of the occlusion mask, ideally the number of pixels.
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Saliency maps
An alternative is to compute the gradient of the maximally responding output unit with respect to the input (Erhan et al., 2009; Simonyan et al., 2013), e.g.

$$\nabla_{|x} f(x; w)$$

where $f$ is the activation of the output unit with maximum response, and $|x$ stresses that the gradient is computed with respect to the input $x$ and not as usual with respect to the parameters $w$. 
This can be implemented by specifying that we need the gradient with respect to the input. We use here the correct unit, not the maximum response one.

Using `torch.autograd.grad` to compute the gradient wrt the input image instead of `torch.autograd.backward` has the advantage of not changing the model’s parameter gradients.

```python
input.requires_grad_()
output = model(input)
loss = nllloss(output, target)
grad_input, = torch.autograd.grad(loss, input)
```

Note that since `torch.autograd.grad` computes the gradient of a function with possibly multiple inputs, the returned result is a tuple.
The resulting maps are quite noisy. For instance with AlexNet:
This is due to the local irregularity of the network’s response as a function of the input.
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**Figure 2.** The partial derivative of $S_c$ with respect to the RGB values of a single pixel as a fraction of the maximum entry in the gradient vector, $\max_i \frac{\partial S_c}{\partial x_i}(t)$, (middle plot) as one slowly moves away from a baseline image $x$ (left plot) to a fixed location $x + \epsilon$ (right plot). $\epsilon$ is one random sample from $\mathcal{N}(0, 0.01^2)$. The final image $(x + \epsilon)$ is indistinguishable to a human from the origin image $x$.

(Smilkov et al., 2017)
Smilkov et al. (2017) proposed to smooth the gradient with respect to the input image by averaging over slightly perturbed versions of the latter.

\[
\tilde{\nabla}_{|x} f_y(x; w) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \nabla_{|x} f_y(x + \epsilon_n; w)
\]

where \(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N\) are i.i.d of distribution \(\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)\), and \(\sigma\) is a fraction of the gap \(\Delta\) between the maximum and the minimum of the pixel values.
A simple version of this "SmoothGrad" approach can be implemented as follows

```python
nb_smooth = 100
std = std_fraction * (img.max() - img.min())
acc_grad = img.new_zeros(img.size())

for q in range(nb_smooth):  # This should be done with mini-batches ...
    noisy_input = img + img.new(img.size()).normal_(0, std)
    noisy_input.requires_grad_()
    output = model(noisy_input)
    loss = nllloss(output, target)
    grad_input, = torch.autograd.grad(loss, noisy_input)
    acc_grad += grad_input

acc_grad = acc_grad.abs().sum(1)  # sum across channels
```
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Deconvolution and guided back-propagation
Zeiler and Fergus (2014) proposed to invert the processing flow of a convolutional network by constructing a corresponding deconvolutional network to compute the “activating pattern” of a sample.

As they point out, the resulting processing is identical to a standard backward pass, except when going through the ReLU layers.
Remember that if $s$ is one of the input to a ReLU layer, and $x$ the corresponding output, we have for the forward pass

$$x = \max(0, s),$$

and for the backward

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial s} = \mathbf{1}_{\{s > 0\}} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x}.$$
Zeiler and Fergus’s deconvolution can be seen as a backward pass where we propagate back through ReLU layers the quantity

$$\max \left( 0, \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x} \right) = 1_{\left\{ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x} > 0 \right\}} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x},$$

instead of the usual

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial s} = 1_{\{s>0\}} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x}. $$
Zeiler and Fergus’s deconvolution can be seen as a backward pass where we propagate back through ReLU layers the quantity

$$\max \left( 0, \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x} \right) = 1_{\{ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x} > 0 \}} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x},$$

instead of the usual

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial s} = 1_{\{s > 0\}} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x}.$$

This quantity is positive for units whose output has a positive contribution to the response, kills the others, and is not modulated by the pre-layer activation $s$. 
Springenberg et al. (2014) improved upon the deconvolution with the **guided back-propagation**, which aims at the best of both worlds: Discarding structures which would not contribute positively to the final response, and discarding structures which are not already present.

It back-propagates through the ReLU layers the quantity

\[ 1 \{ s > 0 \} 1 \{ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x} > 0 \} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x} \]

which keeps only units which have a positive contribution and activation.
So these three visualization methods differ only in the quantities propagated through ReLU layers during the back-pass:

- back-propagation (Erhan et al., 2009; Simonyan et al., 2013):
  \[ 1_{\{s > 0\}} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x}, \]

- deconvolution (Zeiler and Fergus, 2014):
  \[ 1_{\{\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x} > 0\}} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x}, \]

- guided back-propagation (Springenberg et al., 2014):
  \[ 1_{\{s > 0\}} 1_{\{\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x} > 0\}} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x}. \]
These procedures can be implemented simply in PyTorch by changing the \texttt{nn.ReLU}'s backward pass.

The class \texttt{nn.Module} provides methods to register “hook” functions that are called during the forward or the backward pass, and can implement a different computation for the latter.
For instance

```python
>>> x = torch.tensor([ 1.23, -4.56 ])
>>> m = nn.ReLU()
>>> m(x)
tensor([ 1.2300, 0.0000])
```
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>>> x = torch.tensor([ 1.23, -4.56 ])
>>> m = nn.ReLU()
>>> m(x)
tensor([ 1.2300, 0.0000])

>>> def my_hook(m, input, output):
...     print(str(m) + ' got ' + str(input[0].size()))
...  
...  
>>> handle = m.register_forward_hook(my_hook)
>>> m(x)
ReLU() got torch.Size([2])
tensor([ 1.2300, 0.0000])
```
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```python
>>> x = torch.tensor([ 1.23, -4.56 ])
>>> m = nn.ReLU()
>>> m(x)
tensor([ 1.2300,  0.0000])

>>> def my_hook(m, input, output):
...     print(str(m) + ' got ' + str(input[0].size()))
...
>>> handle = m.register_forward_hook(my_hook)
>>> m(x)
ReLU() got torch.Size([2])
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>>> handle.remove()
>>> m(x)
tensor([ 1.2300,  0.0000])
```
Using hooks, we can implement the deconvolution as follows:

```python
def relu_backward_deconv_hook(module, grad_input, grad_output):
    return F.relu(grad_output[0]),

def equip_model_deconv(model):
    for m in model.modules():
        if isinstance(m, nn.ReLU):
            m.register_backward_hook(relu_backward_deconv_hook)
```
```python
def grad_view(model, image_name):
    to_tensor = transforms.ToTensor()
    img = to_tensor(PIL.Image.open(image_name))
    img = 0.5 + 0.5 * (img - img.mean()) / img.std()

    model.to(device)
    img = img.to(device)

    input = img.view(1, img.size(0), img.size(1), img.size(2)).requires_grad_()
    output = model(input)
    result, = torch.autograd.grad(output.max(), input)

    result = result / result.max() + 0.5

    return result
```
def grad_view(model, image_name):
    to_tensor = transforms.ToTensor()
    img = to_tensor(PIL.Image.open(image_name))
    img = 0.5 + 0.5 * (img - img.mean()) / img.std()

    model.to(device)
    img = img.to(device)

    input = img.view(1, img.size(0), img.size(1), img.size(2)).requires_grad_()
    output = model(input)
    result, = torch.autograd.grad(output.max(), input)

    result = result / result.max() + 0.5

    return result

model = models.vgg16(pretrained = True)
model.eval()
model = model.features
equip_model_deconv(model)
result = grad_view(model, 'blacklab.jpg')
utils.save_image(result, 'blacklab-vgg16-deconv.png')
The code is the same for the guided back-propagation, except the hooks themselves:

```python
def relu_forward_gbackprop_hook(module, input, output):
    module.input_kept = input[0]

def relu_backward_gbackprop_hook(module, grad_input, grad_output):
    return F.relu(grad_output[0]) * F.relu(module.input_kept).sign(),

def equip_model_gbackprop(model):
    for m in model.modules():
        if isinstance(m, nn.ReLU):
            m.register_forward_hook(relu_forward_gbackprop_hook)
            m.register_backward_hook(relu_backward_gbackprop_hook)
```
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Experiments with an AlexNet-like network. Original images + deconvolution (or filters) for the top-9 activations for channels picked randomly.
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