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Maximum response samples
Another approach to get an intuition of the information actually encoded in the weights of a convnet consists of optimizing from scratch a sample to maximize the activation $f$ of a chosen unit, or the sum over an activation map. Doing so generates images with high frequencies, which tend to activate units a lot. For instance these images maximize the responses of the units “bathtub” and “lipstick” respectively (yes, this is strange, we will come back to it).
Since $f$ is trained in a discriminative manner, there is no reason that a sample maximizing its response would be “realistic”.

![Diagram showing class 0 and class 1 with $f - h$ and $\hat{x}$]

We can mitigate this by adding a penalty $h$ corresponding to a “realistic” prior and compute in the end

$$\arg\max_x f(x; w) - h(x)$$

by iterating a standard gradient update:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \eta \nabla_x (h(x_k) - f(x_k; w)).$$

A reasonable $h$ penalizes too much energy in the high frequencies by integrating edge amplitude at multiple scales.
This can be formalized as a penalty function $h$ of the form

$$h(x) = \sum_{s \geq 0} \| \delta^s(x) - g \ast \delta^s(x) \|^2$$

where $g$ is a Gaussian kernel, and $\delta$ is a downscale-by-two operator.

We process channels as separate images, and sum across channels in the end.

```python
class MultiScaleEdgeEnergy(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self):
        super(MultiScaleEdgeEnergy, self).__init__()
        k = torch.exp(- torch.tensor([[-2., -1., 0., 1., 2.]])**2 / 2)
        k = (k.t() @ k).view(1, 1, 5, 5)
        self.register_buffer('gaussian_5x5', k / k.sum())

    def forward(self, x):
        u = x.view(-1, 1, x.size(2), x.size(3))
        result = 0.0
        while min(u.size(2), u.size(3)) > 5:
            blurry = F.conv2d(u, self.gaussian_5x5, padding = 2)
            result += (u - blurry).view(u.size(0), -1).pow(2).sum(1)
            u = F.avg_pool2d(u, kernel_size = 2, padding = 1)
        result = result.view(x.size(0), -1).sum(1)
        return result
```
Then, the optimization of the image *per se* is straightforward:

```python
model = models.vgg16(pretrained = True)
model.eval()
edge_energy = MultiScaleEdgeEnergy()
input = torch.empty(1, 3, 224, 224).normal_(0, 0.01)

input.requires_grad_()
optimizer = optim.Adam([input], lr = 1e-1)

for k in range(250):
    output = model(input)
    score = edge_energy(input) - output[0, 700] # paper towel
    optimizer.zero_grad()
    score.backward()
    optimizer.step()

result = input.data
result = 0.5 + 0.1 * (result - result.mean()) / result.std()
torchvision.utils.save_image(result, 'result.png')
```

(take a second to think about the beauty of autograd)

VGG16, maximizing a channel of the 4th convolution layer
VGG16, maximizing a channel of the 7th convolution layer

VGG16, maximizing a unit of the 10th convolution layer
VGG16, maximizing a unit of the 13th (and last) convolution layer

VGG16, maximizing a unit of the output layer

“King crab”  “Samoyed” (that’s a fluffy dog)
VGG16, maximizing a unit of the output layer

“Hourglass”

“Paper towel”

VGG16, maximizing a unit of the output layer

“Ping-pong ball”

“Steel arch bridge”
These results show that the parameters of a network trained for classification carry enough information to generate identifiable large-scale structures.

**Although the training is discriminative, the resulting model has strong generative capabilities.**

It also gives an intuition of the accuracy and shortcomings of the resulting global compositional model.
Adversarial examples

In spite of their good predictive capabilities, deep neural networks are quite sensitive to adversarial inputs, that is to inputs crafted to make them behave incorrectly (Szegedy et al., 2014).

The simplest strategy to exhibit such behavior is to optimize the input to maximize the loss.
Let $x$ be an image, $y$ its proper label, $f(x; w)$ the network’s prediction, and $\mathcal{L}$ the cross-entropy loss. We can construct an adversarial example by maximizing the loss. To do so, we iterate a “gradient ascent” step:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \eta \nabla_x \mathcal{L}(f(x_k; w), y).$$

After a few iterations, this procedure will reach a sample $\tilde{x}$ whose class is not $y$.

The counter-intuitive result is that the resulting miss-classified images are indistinguishable from the original ones to a human eye.

```python
model = torchvision.models.alexnet(pretrained = True)
target = model(input).max(1)[1].view(-1)
cross_entropy = nn.CrossEntropyLoss()
optimizer = optim.SGD([input], lr = 1e-1)
nb_steps = 15

for k in range(nb_steps):
    output = model(input)
    loss = - cross_entropy(output, target)
    optimizer.zero_grad()
    loss.backward()
    optimizer.step()
```
\[ \frac{\|x - \tilde{x}\|}{\|x\|} \leq 1.02\% \quad \text{and} \quad 0.27\% \]

Predicted classes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nb. iterations</th>
<th>Image #1</th>
<th>Image #2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Weimaraner</td>
<td>desktop computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Weimaraner</td>
<td>desktop computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Labrador retriever</td>
<td>desktop computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Labrador retriever</td>
<td>desktop computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Labrador retriever</td>
<td>desktop computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>brush kangaroo</td>
<td>desktop computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>brush kangaroo</td>
<td>desktop computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>sundial</td>
<td>desktop computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>sundial</td>
<td>desktop computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>sundial</td>
<td>desktop computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>sundial</td>
<td>desktop computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>sundial</td>
<td>desktop computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>sundial</td>
<td>desktop computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>sundial</td>
<td>desktop computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>sundial</td>
<td>desk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Another counter-intuitive result is that if we sample 1,000 images on the sphere centered on $x$ of radius $2\|x - \hat{x}\|$, we do not observe any change of label.

Adversarial images can be pushed one step further by optimizing images from scratch with genetic optimization to maximize the network’s response.

(Nguyen et al., 2015)
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